#### Overall conclusions of the Site Selection Methodology for the Service Villages

**<u>Group 1</u>** Fails Stage 1 of the Site Selection Methodology and that are not considered to be suitable for allocation.

<u>Group 2</u> Sites where is it considered that there is no reasonable prospect/very unlikely that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology can be mitigated or sufficiently mitigated; Or

There are compelling reasons which indicate that a site is not considered to be deliverable/developable

<u>Group 3</u> Site where issues have been identified as part of the Stage 2 assessment. Mitigation could be used to reduce impact/achieve an acceptable form of development on sites within this group if they are required to meet development needs.

Group 4 A site which performs generally well through each of the stages of the Site Selection Methodology

#### **Staxton and Willerby**

Site ID	Location	Outcome grouping	Principal reason for outcome
69	Land South of The Green and West of Kiln Field.	1	Fails Stage 1 of the Site Selection Methodology (site size) and therefore is not carried forward/suitable for allocation.
434	Land South of The Green and West of Kiln Field.	1	Fails Stage 1 of the Site Selection Methodology (site size) and therefore is not carried forward/suitable for allocation.
72 (112,557)	Land North of Ings Close.	2	Unlikely that as part of Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology that the site is deliverable/developable in view of land ownership complexity.
112 (72,557)	Land North of Ings Close	2	Unlikely that as part of Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology that the site is deliverable/developable in view of land ownership complexity.
557 (72,557)	Land North of Ings Close	2	Unlikely that as part of Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology that the site is deliverable/developable in view of land ownership complexity.
480	Land East of Mayfield Villas, Main Street, Staxton	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology concerning the delivery of the access can be sufficiently mitigated.
591	Land South of the Old	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the Site

Site ID	Location	Outcome grouping	Principal reason for outcome
	Vicarage, Wain's Lane		Selection Methodology concerning an adverse impact on settlement form and character can be sufficiently mitigated.
177	Land West of Church Walk	3 (approximate yield 20 dwellings)	Some potential for development identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology and mitigation (form and character) is potentially achievable.
217	Land West of Grange Avenue	3 (approximate yield 26 dwellings)	Some potential for development identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology and mitigation (form and character) is potentially achievable.

## Sherburn

Site ID	Location	Outcome grouping	Principal reason for outcome
348	Land South of High Street (A64) and East of Low Mill	1	Fails Stage 1 of the Site Selection Methodology (proposed use) and therefore is not carried forward/suitable for allocation.
126	Land North of High Street and west of St. Hilda's Street	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology (uncertainties over the delivery of the access) can be sufficiently mitigated
605	Land East of Springfield Terrace, High Street	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology concerning an adverse impact on settlement form and character can be sufficiently mitigated.
253	Land West of The Pastures, High Street	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology concerning an adverse impact on settlement form and character can be sufficiently mitigated.
251	Land North of Sand Lane	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the Site

Site ID	Location	Outcome grouping	Principal reason for outcome
			Selection Methodology concerning an adverse impact on settlement form and character can be sufficiently mitigated.
77	Land to east of Corner House Farm, High Street	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology concerning an adverse impact on settlement form and character and residential amenity can be sufficiently mitigated.
71	Land to south and east of Manor Farm, High Street	3	Some potential for development identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology and mitigation (reduced site area) is potentially achievable. Currently there are, however, alternative sites at the settlement which are capable of being delivered which better protect/enhance the form and character of the settlement (This site is now a planning approval for 73 dwellings)
283	Land to rear of The Old Vicarage, Vicarage Lane	4 (approximate yield 4 dwellings)	A site which performs well through all three stages of the Site Selection Methodology, subject to appropriate site-specific considerations (This site was subject to planning approval which has expired)
264 (12/74)	Land to the north of East Beck Close	4 (approximate yield 8 dwellings)	A site which performs well through all three stages of the Site Selection Methodology, subject to appropriate site-specific considerations

# Rillington

Site ID	Location	Outcome grouping	Principal reason for outcome
125	Land West of High Street, east of the Playing Field	1	Fails Stage 1 of the Site Selection Methodology (site size) and therefore is not carried forward/suitable for allocation.

Site ID	Location	Outcome grouping	Principal reason for outcome
231	Land North of Malton Road and East of West Moor Lane	1	Fails Stage 1 of the Site Selection Methodology (fails to comply with SP1 as not adjacent to settlement) and therefore is not carried forward/suitable for allocation. Also, concerns from Highways Agency regarding impact on A64 and form and character issues as a result of the size of the site.
255	Land south of Park Farm, Low Moorgate	1	Fails Stage 1 of the Site Selection Methodology (site size) and therefore is not carried forward/suitable for allocation.
290	Land East of Ellis Patents Ltd, High Street	1	Fails Stage 1 of the Site Selection Methodology (employment use within Service Village) and therefore is not carried forward/suitable for allocation.
370	Land South of 1-10 Eastfield, Scarborough Road, and East of Pine Tree Avenue	1	Fails Stage 1 of the Site Selection Methodology (Objection from English Heritage as site is a Scheduled Monument) and therefore is not carried forward/suitable for allocation.
441	Land South of 8 Collinsons Lane	1	Fails Stage 1 of the Site Selection Methodology (site size) and therefore is not carried forward/suitable for allocation.
518	Land North of the Railway line and South of Villa Farm, Rillington	1	Fails Stage 1 of the Site Selection Methodology (fails to comply with SP1 as not adjacent to settlement) and therefore is not carried forward/suitable for allocation.
141	Land South of Sands Lane and North of A64	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology concerning an adverse impact on settlement form and character and highway access can be sufficiently mitigated. Currently there are, however, alternative sites at the settlement which are capable of being delivered which better protect/enhance the form and character of the settlement.
144	Land North East of Collinsons Lane and South of Southlea	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology concerning an adverse impact on settlement form and character can be sufficiently mitigated.
182	Land & Buildings at Park Farm	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology concerning an adverse impact on settlement form and character, and identified harm to the setting of designated heritage

Site ID	Location	Outcome grouping	Principal reason for outcome
			assets.
223	Land North of Park Farm, Low Moorgate	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology concerning an adverse impact on settlement form and character, and identified harm to the setting of designated heritage assets.
232	Land North of Rectory Farm, Low Moor Lane	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology concerning an adverse impact on settlement form and character, impact on designated heritage assets and highway access can be sufficiently mitigated.
233	Church Farm, Westgate	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology concerning impact on designated heritage assets and highway access can be sufficiently mitigated. Currently there are, however, alternative sites at the settlement which are capable of being delivered which better protect/enhance the form and character of the settlement.
412	Land West of 27-31 Low Moorgate	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology concerning highway access can be sufficiently mitigated.
515	Land north of Scarborough Road and south of Sands Lane	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology concerning an adverse impact on settlement form and character and highway access can be sufficiently mitigated.
516	Land to the north of Birtley Court and west of Rillington Manor	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology concerning an adverse impact on settlement form and character and highway access can be sufficiently mitigated.
517	Land to the west of Park Farm,	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the

Site ID	Location	Outcome grouping	Principal reason for outcome
	Rillington		Site Selection Methodology concerning an adverse impact on settlement form and character due to size of site objection from Highways Agency regarding impact on A64.
175	Land North of Scarborough Road and South of Sands Lane	3 (approximate yield 23 dwellings)	Some potential for development identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology as the site has a better physical link with the settlement and mitigation concerning access onto the A64 will require further investigation. Currently there are, however, alternative sites at the settlement which are capable of being delivered with appropriate highway mitigation, and protect/enhance the form and character of the settlement
633	Land at 43 Scarborough Road	3	Site is subject to planning permission.13/01425/FUL for 4 dwellings Currently there are, however, alternative site(s) at the settlement which are capable of being delivered which better protect/enhance the form and character of the settlement, and provide opportunity for a range of housing mix.
638 With previous submissions: 176/286/291/ 292/536	Land to east of Low Moorgate and north of Manor View	4 (approximate yield 27 dwellings)	A site which performs well through all three stages of the Site Selection Methodology, subject to appropriate site-specific considerations. Planning permission covers phase 1 (within Development Limits)

# Sheriff Hutton

Site ID	Location	Outcome grouping	Principal reason for outcome
75	Land to west of Sheriff Hutton Industrial Estate	-	Developed
101	Land South of Halfway	1	Fails Stage 1 of the Site Selection Methodology (site size) and therefore is

	House, Main Street		not carried forward/suitable for allocation. Also harms setting of Scheduled Monument (Sheriff Hutton Castle)
70		2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology concerning an adverse impact on settlement form and character, site is distanced from settlement
51	Peckett's Yard, Church End	3 (approximate yield 15 dwellings)	A site which generally performs well through all three stages of the Site Selection Methodology, subject to appropriate site-specific considerations

### <u>Thornton le Dale</u>

Site ID	Location	Outcome grouping	Principal reason for outcome
97	Land to the south of the A170 and East of Hurrell Lane	1	Fails Stage 1 of the Site Selection Methodology (fails to comply with SP1 as not adjacent to settlement) and therefore is not carried forward/suitable for allocation
592	Land East of Sewage Works, Thornton Lane	1	Fails Stage 1 of the Site Selection Methodology (Flood risk) and therefore is not carried forward/suitable for allocation. Also HSE advise against development due to high pressure gas pipelines
593	Land North of Wilton Road	1	Fails Stage 1 of the Site Selection Methodology (fails to comply with SP1 as not adjacent to settlement) and therefore is not carried forward/suitable for allocation
594	Land North of Wilton Road and South of Outgang Lane	1	Fails Stage 1 of the Site Selection Methodology (fails to comply with SP1 as not adjacent to settlement) and therefore is not carried forward/suitable for allocation
595	Land East of Hurrell Lane	1	Fails Stage 1 of the Site Selection Methodology (fails to comply with SP1 as not adjacent to settlement) and therefore is not carried forward/suitable for allocation. Also HSE advise against development due to high pressure gas pipelines
596	Land South of A170 and West of Eastfield Drain	1	Fails Stage 1 of the Site Selection Methodology (fails to comply with SP1 as not adjacent to settlement) and therefore is not carried forward/suitable for allocation
82	Land West of Thornton Lane	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the

Site ID	Location	Outcome grouping	Principal reason for outcome
			Site Selection Methodology (HSE advise against development) can be sufficiently mitigated
465	Land North of East Hill, Wilton Road	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology (form and character as site is not adjacent to the settlement) can be sufficiently mitigated
109	Land North of High Street	3 (approximate yield 61 dwellings)	Some potential for development identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology and mitigation to address concerns over: form and character issues and the presence of higher vulnerability to ground water further north, could be mitigated through a reduced site area.

#### <u>Ampleforth</u>

Site ID	Location	Outcome grouping	Principal reason for outcome
288	Land East of Station Road and South of St Hildas Walk	Site is under development	
544	Land at College Garth, Main Street	Site is under development	
111	Land West of Brookfields, Main Street	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology can be sufficiently mitigated. These concerns are: harm the character of the Conservation Area, the setting of Listed Buildings and the form and character of Ampleforth as a whole.
224	Land West of Station Road	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology can be sufficiently mitigated. The site is of a scale which is disproportionate to the form and character of the settlement, and the setting of the Conservation Area. Also there are access issues. A reduced site area is also potentially unachievable.
545	Scott Farm, East Lane	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the

Site ID	Location	Outcome grouping	Principal reason for outcome
			Site Selection Methodology can be sufficiently mitigated. The site is not physically related to Ampleforth and the road access is unsuitable from the village).
547	Land Between Athelstan and Windhill, East Lane	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology can be sufficiently mitigated. The site is not physically related to Ampleforth and the road access is unsuitable from the village).
616	Land West of the Bungalow, Main Street	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology can be sufficiently mitigated. These concerns are: harm the character of the Conservation Area, the setting of Listed Buildings and the form and character of Ampleforth. Also there are concerns over the access.
632	Land south of Back Lane	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology can be sufficiently mitigated. The issues concern the coalescence with the college area, the site is incongruous and visually prominent and is of a scale which is discordant with the existing village. The access road to the site is sub-standard.
160	Land East of Station Road	4 (approximate yield 21 dwellings)	A site which performs well through all three stages of the Site Selection Methodology, subject to appropriate site-specific considerations. In combination with 288 which is under development site performs well and will interlink with this site.

<u>Hovingham</u>

Site ID	Location	Outcome grouping	Principal reason for outcome
30	Farm Buildings at Hall Farm	1	Fails Stage 1 of the Site Selection Methodology (fails to comply with SP1 as not adjacent to settlement, and use is for employment) and therefore is not carried forward/suitable for allocation
31 N	Land North of Mowbray Crescent	2-3	See site submission 643
31S	Land South of Mowbray Crescent	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology can be sufficiently mitigated. The site's size and configuration means the land is not developable.
32	Land South of 6 Pasture Lane	Almost developed	
373	Land South of 1-10 Mowbray Crescent	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology can be sufficiently mitigated. The site's size and configuration means the land is not developable.
374	Land North of Mowbray Crescent and East of Main Street	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology can be sufficiently mitigated. Adverse impact on the setting of the Listed Worsley Arms and associated buildings, and the Flood risk. A smaller site area may mitigate these issues.
375	Land East of Hall Farmhouse, Main Street	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology can be sufficiently mitigated. Form and character issues with the site, with adverse impact on the Conservation Area and Flood Risk
643	Land North of Mowbray Crescent	3 (approximate yield 32 dwellings)	Revised site area of 375 Some potential for development identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology and mitigation to address concerns over form and character issues, issues on the setting of Listed Buildings and the flood risk.

## <u>Slingsby</u>

Site ID	Location	Outcome grouping	Principal reason for outcome
532	Land East of Wheatlands Court and South of The Bungalow, Railway Street	1	Fails Stage 1 of the Site Selection Methodology (site size) and therefore is not carried forward/suitable for allocation.
427	Slingsby Sports Club, Church Lane	1	Fails Stage 1 of the Site Selection Methodology due to the harm identified to designated heritage assets. Some parts of the site also were flood zone 3b, which are not capable of mitigation and therefore is not carried forward/suitable for allocation.
428	Land East of Railway Street	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology can be sufficiently mitigated. The site would adversely impact on the form and character of the settlement.
444	Land North of Slingsby Sports Ground and West of 1-2 The Lawns, Church Lane	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology can be sufficiently mitigated. These issues concern: form and character, setting of designated heritage assets, flood risk and access issues. Landowner has withdrawn site from consideration.
429	Castle Farm, High Street	3 (conversion scheme only)	Some potential for development identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology. The site includes Listed Buildings, making the site only capable of conversion. Currently there are, however, alternative sites at the settlement which are capable of being delivered which will complement the form and character of the settlement, and provide a more meaningful level of housing. The site is mostly within Development Limits.
464	Land South of 14 Aspen Way and North of Malton Road	3 (approximate yield 24 dwellings)	A site which performs well through all three stages of the Site Selection Methodology, this is subject to it being considered in combination with site 430, as part of the impact on form and character of the settlement, and subject to appropriate site-specific considerations, particularly the access.

Site ID	Location	Outcome grouping	Principal reason for outcome
430	Land East of The Balk and South of Aspen Way	4 (approximate yield 73 dwellings) (includes 464)	A site which performs well through all three stages of the Site Selection Methodology, subject to appropriate site-specific considerations. Includes site 464, this is also submitted by the landowner separately.

## Nawton Beadlam

Site ID	Location	Outcome grouping	Principal reason for outcome
55	Land West of Station Road and North of Beckett Close, Nawton	Developed	
367	OS Field No.1521 (West of Wombleton Aerodrome), South of Sykehead Lane, Nawton	1	Fails Stage 1 of the Site Selection Methodology (fails to comply with SP1 as not adjacent to settlement) and therefore is not carried forward/suitable for allocation
611	Land South of Sykehead Lane, Nawton	1	Fails Stage 1 of the Site Selection Methodology (fails to comply with SP1 as not adjacent to settlement) and therefore is not carried forward/suitable for allocation
639	Land to west of Gale Lane, Beadlam	1	Fails Stage 1 of the Site Selection Methodology (below site size threshold) and therefore is not carried forward/suitable for allocation
13	Land East of Southfields, Main Street, Beadlam	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology (access) can be sufficiently mitigated. Site submitter is no longer interested in developing site.
54	Land South of A170, Snape Hill, Nawton	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology (adverse impact on form and character of settlement) can be sufficiently mitigated.
105	Land South of A170 and North of Station Road, Nawton	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology (adverse impact on form and character of

Site ID	Location	Outcome grouping	Principal reason for outcome
			settlement) can be sufficiently mitigated.
147	Land North and West of 1-6 High Lane, Beadlam	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology (adverse impact on form and character of settlement) can be sufficiently mitigated.
154	Valley View Lodges, Station Road, Nawton	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology (adverse impact on form and character of settlement) can be sufficiently mitigated.
261	Land South of A170, Snape Hill, Nawton	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology (adverse impact on form and character of settlement) can be sufficiently mitigated.
267	Land South of High Lane, West of The Croft and North of A170, Beadlam	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology (adverse impact on form and character of settlement) can be sufficiently mitigated.
481	Land south of Birklands, Main Street, Beadlam	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology (adverse impact on form and character of settlement) can be sufficiently mitigated.
610	Land South of A170, Snape Hill, Nawton	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology (adverse impact on form and character of settlement) can be sufficiently mitigated.
522	Land adjoining Ashton House, Nawton	3 (approximate yield 8	Some potential for development identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology and mitigation (concerning access) is potentially achievable.
		dwellings)	Currently there are, however, alternative sites at the settlement which are capable of being delivered with a meaningful level of housing and which would protect/enhance the form and character of the settlement
173 /252	Land to the West of Beckett Close, Nawton	4 (approximate yield 20 dwellings)	A site which performs well through all three stages of the Site Selection Methodology, subject to appropriate site-specific considerations.

#### Amotherby and Swinton

Site ID	Location	Outcome grouping	Principal reason for outcome
3	Land South-East of BATA's Manufacturing & Distribution Site, Amotherby	1	Fails Stage 1 of the Site Selection Methodology (employment use within Service Village) and therefore is not carried forward/suitable for allocation.
381	Amotherby Pump House, High Street, Amotherby	1	Fails Stage 1 of the Site Selection Methodology (site size) and therefore is not carried forward/suitable for allocation.
489	Land East of Gas Storage and North of the Cricket Pitch, Amotherby	1	Fails Stage 1 of the Site Selection Methodology (employment use within Service Village) and therefore is not carried forward/suitable for allocation.
540	Land East of Low Lane and North of Rye View, Swinton	1	Fails Stage 1 of the Site Selection Methodology (site size) and therefore is not carried forward/suitable for allocation.
612	Amotherby Pump House, High Street, Amotherby	1	Fails Stage 1 of the Site Selection Methodology (site size) and therefore is not carried forward/suitable for allocation.
61	Bentley's Garage, South of B1257, Amotherby	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology (amenity issue) can be sufficiently mitigated in conjunction with delivering a meaningful level of housing. Site is a brownfield site
161	Land West of 11-19 West Street, Swinton	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology (access concerns) can be sufficiently mitigated.
178	Land east of East Street, Swinton	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology (access concerns) can be sufficiently mitigated.
181	Land West of Amotherby Lane, Amotherby	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology can be sufficiently mitigated. The Health and Safety Executive advise against development due to the proximity of BATA HSE site.
254	Land West of 11-19 West Street, Swinton	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology (access concerns) can be sufficiently mitigated.
346	Land East of Low Lane and North Of Lowfield Lane, Swinton	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology (form and character issues as separated from the settlement and access concerns) can be sufficiently mitigated.
371	Malton Foods Site, High Street,	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the

Site ID	Location	Outcome	Principal reason for outcome
		grouping	
	Amotherby		Site Selection Methodology (form and character issues due to scale of site)
			can be sufficiently mitigated.
455	Land south of High Street and	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the
	East of Swinton Lane, Swinton		Site Selection Methodology (form and character issues as separated from
			the settlement and access concerns) can be sufficiently mitigated.
456	Land south of High Street and	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the
	West of Swinton Lane, Swinton		Site Selection Methodology (form and character issues) can be sufficiently mitigated.
478	Land East and South of	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the
	Low Farm, East Street		Site Selection Methodology (access concerns) can be sufficiently mitigated.
537	Land East of East Street (2)	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the
	Swinton		Site Selection Methodology (access concerns) can be sufficiently mitigated.
538	Land East of East Street (3)	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the
	Swinton		Site Selection Methodology (access concerns) can be sufficiently mitigated.
566	Land East of 1-4 East Street,	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the
	Swinton		Site Selection Methodology (form and character issues access concerns)
			can be sufficiently mitigated.
635	Land west of East Field and	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the
	north of High Street,		Site Selection Methodology (source protection zone vulnerability) can be
	Amotherby		sufficiently mitigated.
636	Land opposite Lime Kiln Farm,	2	Unlikely/ no reasonable prospect that concerns identified at Stage 2 of the
	and south of the B1257,		Site Selection Methodology (form and character issues due to settlement
	Amotherby		coalescence) can be sufficiently mitigated.
8	Land to east of properties on	3	Some potential for development identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection
	Main Street, and north of St.	(approximate	Methodology and mitigation (amenity and setting of heritage assets) is
	Helens, Amotherby	yield 19	potentially achievable.
		dwellings)	
148	Land North of B1257 and	3	Some potential for development identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection
	South of Amotherby	(approximate	Methodology and mitigation (concerning ground source protection zone) is
	Primary School, Amotherby	yield 59	potentially achievable.
		dwellings)	

Site ID	Location	Outcome grouping	Principal reason for outcome
341	Land north of Meadowfield Close and west of Low Lane Swinton	3 (approximate yield 24 dwellings)	Some potential for development identified at Stage 2 of the Site Selection Methodology and mitigation (access) is potentially achievable. Currently there are, however, alternative sites at the settlement which are capable of being delivered with a better standard of residential amenity.